

News

The Madhya Pradesh High Court revokes the ruling after a misprint gives the murder suspect bail rather than refusing it.



The Court was informed that the results of two bail applications were inadvertently switched, with one that ought to have been denied being granted and the other being denied.

After learning that a typographical error had unintentionally resulted in the bail application of a murder suspect being granted rather than denied, the Madhya Pradesh High Court recently recalled a decision issued on a bail petition .

A co-accused's attorney in the same case told the court on August 8 that a typographical error had reversed the results of two bail petitions, allowing one that ought to have been denied and rejecting the other.

He informed the court that although bail was intended to be granted for his client, it was denied. Although it was intended to be denied, a related bail plea was granted.

A Court of Justice As a result, Rakesh Kumar Gupta remembered the previous directives.

"The petitioner's learned counsel's statement seems to be accurate. The August 8 order stated, "In view of the aforementioned, the previous order dated 07.08.2025 passed in both the M.Cr C.Nos. 31180/2025 and 28977/2025 are hereby recalled."

In the case, three individuals were charged with attacking a man on July 5, 2024, which resulted in his death.

The deceased man was allegedly thrashed with a stick by two of the defendants, Halke and Dharmendra. Ashok, the third accused, is accused of throwing a stone that struck the deceased man's chest.

In the end, Halke and Ashok submitted bail requests, which the court listed jointly.

The Court issued a ruling giving Halke bail on August 7.

"Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, but without expressing any opinion on merits of the case, this application is allowed," the ruling that has been recalled stated.

The Court stated that it was rejecting Ashok's bail request in a different ruling that recapped the exact details of his bail plea.

"Considering the totality of facts and circumstances of the case, the nature of allegations levelled against the present applicant, the gravity of the offence, without commenting upon the merits of the case, no case is made out for grant of bail to the applicant at this stage," the amended order in Ashok's case stated.

On August 8, the following day, Ashok's attorney told the court that it seemed that the bail decisions in these cases had been accidentally reversed. As a result, the judge posted the case today and recalled its previous rulings.